

Gibson Traffic Consultants 2813 Rockefeller Avenue Suite B Everett, WA 98201 425.339.8266

Tukwila Birth to K Traffic Impact Analysis

Jurisdiction: City of Tukwila

February 2017



ATTACHMENT I

GTC #16-178

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. DEVELOPMENT IDENTIFICATION	1
2. METHODOLOGY	1
3. TRIP GENERATION	4
3.1 Half-Day Pre-Kindergarten	5
4. TRIP DISTRIBUTION	6
5. INTERSECTION ANALYSIS	6
5.1 Level of Service Results	1
5.2 On-Site Operations	1
6. PARKING ANALYSIS	2
7. MITIGATION	2
8. CONCLUSIONS	3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Vicinity Map	2
Figure 2: Development Trip Distribution - AM (School PM) Peak	7
Figure 3: 2016 Existing Traffic Volumes	8
Figure 4: 2022 Baseline Traffic Volumes	9
Figure 5: 2022 Future with Development Traffic Volumes 1	0

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Level of Service Criteria for Intersections	3
Table 2: Trip Generation Summary – Elementary Students	4
Table 3: Trip Generation Summary – Birth to Pre-K Students	4
Table 4: Trip Generation Summary – Total Trips	5
Table 5: Intersection Level of Service Summary 1	1

ATTACHMENTS

Trip Generation Calculations	A
Traffic Volume Data and Calculations	B
Level of Service Calculations	C

1. DEVELOPMENT IDENTIFICATION

Gibson Traffic Consultants, Inc. (GTC) has been retained to provide a traffic impact analysis for the proposed Birth to K school for the Tukwila School District. GTC is a professional traffic engineering consulting firm registered and licensed in the State of Washington. Brad Lincoln, responsible for this report and traffic analysis, is a licensed professional engineer (Civil) in the State of Washington and member of the Washington State section of ITE.

The Birth to K school is located north of Showalter Middle School and is proposed to have access to S 142nd Street along the north side of Showalter Middle School and Foster High School. The school is proposed to consist of approximately 53,000 SF of school space for up to 440 students. Approximately 300 students will be kindergarten students and 140 will be birth to pre-kindergarten students. It is anticipated that as many as 75% of the kindergarten students will be bussed to the site. The start and end times for the different students, kindergarten, pre-kindergarten and infant/toddler, will be staggered by approximately 30 minutes. The site is proposed to have 80 parking spaces plus room for 6 busses. The school is expected to be built by the end of 2019. A site vicinity map has been included in Figure 1.

2. METHODOLOGY

The trip generation calculations for the Tukwila Birth to K school have been performed based on data contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineer's (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual*. The calculations have been performed by using a combination of trip generation data for elementary school and daycare centers.

The scope of the analysis contained in this report is based on the discussions with City of Tukwila staff. The analysis has been performed for the AM peak-hour and the school PM peak-hour to account for the two times when the school's trip generation is the highest. The following intersections have been analyzed as part of this report:

- 1. 42nd Avenue S at S 144th Street
- 2. 42nd Avenue S at S 142nd Street

The analysis has been performed for the 2016 existing conditions, 2022 baseline conditions and 2022 future with development conditions. This time period has been utilized to account for a typical 6-year horizon period; even though the school is anticipated to be completed by 2020.



Congestion at intersections is generally measured in terms of level of service (LOS). In accordance with *Highway Capacity Manual: 2010 Edition (HCM)* by the Transportation Research Board, road facilities and intersections are rated between LOS A and LOS F, with LOS A being free flow and LOS F being forced flow or over-capacity conditions. The level of service at signalized, roundabout and all-way stop-controlled intersections is based on the average delay of all approaches. The level of service for two-way stop-controlled intersections is based on average delays for the stopped approach with the highest delay. Geometric characteristics and conflicting traffic movements are taken into consideration when determining level of service values. A summary of the intersection level of service criteria is included in Table 1.

Level of ¹	Expected	Intersection ((Seconds p	Control Delay er Vehicle)
Service	Delay	Unsignalized Intersections	Signalized Intersections
А	Little/No Delay	<u><</u> 10	<u><</u> 10
В	Short Delays	>10 and <u><</u> 15	>10 and <u><</u> 20
С	Average Delays	>15 and <u><</u> 25	>20 and <u><</u> 35
D	Long Delays	>25 and <u><</u> 35	>35 and <u><</u> 55
Е	Very Long Delays	>35 and <u><</u> 50	>55 and <u><</u> 80
F	Extreme Delays ²	>50	>80

Table 1: Level of Service Criteria for Intersections

The level of service threshold that has been applied to the study intersections in this report is LOS D, based on the surrounding uses and the descriptions identified in the City of Tukwila *Comprehensive Plan*. The level of service analysis has been performed utilizing the *Synchro 9.1*, *Build 907* software.

¹ Source: *Highway Capacity Manual* 2010.

- LOS A: Free-flow traffic conditions, with minimal delay to stopped vehicles (no vehicle is delayed longer than one cycle at signalized intersection).
- LOS B: Generally stable traffic flow conditions.
- LOS C: Occasional back-ups may develop, but delay to vehicles is short term and still tolerable.
- LOS D: During short periods of the peak hour, delays to approaching vehicles may be substantial but are tolerable during times of less demand (i.e. vehicles delayed one cycle or less at signal).
- LOS E: Intersections operate at or near capacity, with long queues developing on all approaches and long delays.
- LOS F: Jammed conditions on all approaches with excessively long delays and vehicles unable to move at times.
- ² When demand volume exceeds the capacity of the lane, extreme delays will be encountered with queuing which may cause severe congestion affecting other traffic movements in the intersection.

3. TRIP GENERATION

The trip generation for the Tukwila Birth to K school is based on a combination of the following ITE Land Use Codes:

- ITE LUC 520 (Elementary School) 300 students
- ITE LUC 565 (Daycare Center) 140 students

The kindergarten students will most closely be associated with elementary school kids, especially with up to 75% of the students anticipated to ride the bus. The birth to pre-kindergarten students are most closely associated with daycare center type students since these students will almost certainly rely on parents dropping them off and picking them up.

A daycare center usually includes a significant amount of reduction in new trips being generated since parents will typically utilize a daycare center along a route they already travel. These trips are identified as pass-by trips since they are not new trips to the street system. A 75% pass-by reduction has been approved by many jurisdictions in the Puget Sound region. This pass-by trip reduction has been identified in the trip generation calculations included in the attachments, but has not been applied to the trip generation calculations included in the text of this report or in the calculations utilized for the intersection level of service analysis. This results in all of the trips generated by the school being new trips to the system and results in a conservatively high analysis of the impacts of the school.

The trip generation of the 300 elementary students is summarized in Table 2.

300 Flementary	Aver	age Daily Tr	ips	AM P	eak-Hour Ti	rips	School PN	M Peak-Hour	• Trips
Students	Inbound	Outbound	Total	Inbound	Outbound	Total	Inbound	Outbound	Total
Generation Rate	1.29	trips per stude	ent	0.45 t	rips per stude	ent	0.28 1	trips per stude	ent
Splits	50%	50%	100%	55%	45%	100%	45%	55%	100%
Trips	194	193	387	74	61	135	38	46	84

Table 2: Trip Generation Summary – Elementary Students

The trip generation of the 140 birth to pre-kindergarten students are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Trip Generation Summary – Birth to Pre-K Students

140 Birth to Pro K	Aver	age Daily Tr	ips	AM P	eak-Hour Ti	rips	School PN	M Peak-Hour	r Trips
Students	Inbound	Outbound	Total	Inbound	Outbound	Total	Inbound	Outbound	Total
Generation Rate	4.38	trips per stude	ent	0.80 t	rips per stude	ent	0.81	trips per stude	ent
Splits	50%	50%	100%	53%	47%	100%	47%	53%	100%
Trips	307	306	613	60	52	112	53	58	113

The total trip generation of the Tukwila Birth to K school is summarized in Table 4.

Student Type	Aver	age Daily Tr	ips	AM P	eak-Hour Ti	rips	School PN	M Peak-Hour	· Trips
Student Type	Inbound	Outbound	Total	Inbound	Outbound	Total	Inbound	Outbound	Total
Elementary 300 students	194	193	387	74	61	135	38	46	84
Birth to Pre-K 140 students	307	306	613	60	52	112	53	60	113
Total	501	499	1,000	134	113	247	91	106	197

Table 4: Trip Generation Summary – Total Trips

The trip generation calculations are included in the attachments.

It is important to note that 75% of the kindergarten students are anticipated to ride the bus, which is higher than a typical elementary school. This level of bus ridership would likely reduce the trip generation for the 300 kindergarten kids. However, the mode split for the Tukwila Birth to K school is not known, but it is assumed to be similar to an elementary school for the 300 kindergarten students and similar to a daycare for the 140 birth to pre-kindergarten students.

3.1 Half-Day Pre-Kindergarten

The Tukwila Birth to K is planned to have half-day pre-kindergarten with sessions in the morning and afternoon. The 440 students at the Tukwila Birth to K would represent the maximum number of students during either of these pre-kindergarten sessions. There are anticipated to be 77 pre-kindergarten students at one time. ITE Land Use Code 565, daycare, was utilized for the pre-kindergarten students since it is the most closely associated land use code. ITE does not identify if the data for this land use included morning and afternoon sessions. The worst case scenario would be to assume 154 additional daily trips for a full turnover of pre-kindergarten students and assuming all pre-kindergarten students are picked-up or dropped-off in a single vehicle. This does not account for students that may walk, carpool or utilize bus service. This would therefore represent an overly conservative assumption and would result in 1,154 average daily trips. The two half-day pre-kindergarten sessions are not anticipated to change the AM or school PM peakhour trip generation of the site since the trip generation assumption of 1 vehicle per student is overly conservative.

4. TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The distribution of trips generated by the Tukwila Birth to K school is based on existing count data, surrounding uses and major roadways in the site vicinity. It is anticipated that 55% of the school's trips will travel along S 144th Street, forty-five percent to and from the west and ten percent to and from the east. Approximately 30% of the school's trips will travel to and from the south along 42nd Avenue S, south of S 144th Street. The remaining 15% of the school's trips are anticipated to travel to and from the north along S 42nd Street, north of the site. A detailed trip distribution is shown in Figure 2.

The school is not anticipated to significantly impact 43rd Avenue S or S 140th Street since the majority of trips are anticipated to travel to and from the south.

5. INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

The intersection analysis has been performed for the AM peak-hour and school PM peak-hour. Existing traffic volumes at the study intersections were collected by the independent count firm National Data and Surveying Solutions (NDS) near the end of September, 2016. The existing traffic volumes at the study intersections are shown in Figure 3.

The 2022 baseline traffic volumes were calculations by applying a 3% annually compounding growth rate to the existing traffic volumes. This growth rate is higher than the typical 2% growth rate identified by the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) and considerably higher than the growth rates identified in the City of Tukwila *Background Report for the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan Update*. The 2022 baseline traffic volumes are shown in Figure 4.

The 2022 future with development traffic volumes were calculated by adding the school's trips to the 2022 baseline traffic volumes. The 2022 future with development traffic volumes are shown in Figure 5. The existing count data and traffic volume calculations are included in the attachments.









5.1 Level of Service Results

The intersection of 42nd Avenue S at S 144th Street is currently an all-way stop-controlled intersection that is planned to be improved to a signalized intersection. This intersection has therefore been analyzed as an all-way stop-controlled intersection for the 2016 existing conditions and as a signal for the 2022 baseline and 2022 future with development conditions. No other improvements have been included as part of the level of service analysis. The level of service analysis has been performed with the same parameters, including channelization, peak-hour factors and heavy vehicle factors as the existing conditions. The level of service results are summarized in Table 5.

Intersection	Peak-Hour	2016 E Cond	xisting itions	2022 Con	Baseline ditions	2022 w Deve	Future lopment
		LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Delay
1. 42 nd Avenue S at	AM Peak-Hour	В	12.0 sec	В	11.6 sec	В	14.6 sec
S 144 th Street	School PM Peak	В	12.6 sec	В	11.7 sec	В	13.7 sec
2. 42 nd Avenue S at	AM Peak-Hour	С	10.7 sec	В	11.2 sec	С	17.3 sec
S 144 th Street	School PM Peak	А	9.9 sec	В	10.4 sec	С	19.2 sec

Table 5: Intersection Level of Service Summary

The level of service analysis shows that the study intersections will operate at acceptable LOS C or better with the addition of the Tukwila Birth to K school. The level of service calculations are included in the attachments.

The level of service analysis does not show any significant queue lengths at the study intersections during either the AM or school PM peak-hours. Additionally, queue lengths due to schools are typically short in duration and do not last as long as queue lengths associated with commuter trips.

5.2 On-Site Operations

The on-site operations were evaluated qualitatively based on the anticipated operations of the site, which includes staggered arrival and dismissal times for kindergarten and pre-kindergarten students. The site is proposed to have separate bus and drop-off/pick-up loops, which provides for good separation between busses and parent vehicles. The site will have approximately 230 feet of dedicated drop-off/pick-up area, which can accommodate approximately 10 vehicles. There will be an additional 400 feet of storage area within the parking lot, which would provide storage for approximately 16 additional vehicles. The drop-off/pick-up area and parking lot are anticipated to have storage for 26 vehicles. Additionally, parents will be able to utilize open parking spaces to pick-up and drop-off students. The drive aisle through the parking lot and drop-off/pick-up area is wide enough to allow vehicles to pass stopped vehicles.

The Tukwila Birth to K school is anticipated to have 80 parking spaces and 46 teachers and staff, which allows for 34 parking spaces for parents. The total number of parent pick-up/drop-off vehicles that can be accommodated within the pick-up/drop-off and parking lot is 60 vehicles. The peak demand of the school is anticipated to be 74 inbound vehicles, based on the elementary school AM peak-hour inbound trips. There are not anticipated to be 74 vehicles on the site at the same

time, especially since a portion of these trips will be teachers and staff arriving. Space for 60 pickup/drop-off vehicles on the site at any one time should therefore be sufficient for the Tukwila Birth to K school.

The staggered arrival and dismissal times, 80 parking spaces, separate bus and parent vehicle loops, dedicated drop-off/pick-up loop for 11 vehicles and space for 17 additional vehicles to queue should allow the site to adequate operate and handle the arrival and dismissal traffic. The school will also have emergency vehicle access so that emergency vehicles have an alternative access point if an emergency does occur during the AM or school PM peak-hours.

6. PARKING ANALYSIS

The Tukwila Birth to K school is proposed to include 440 students and 80 parking spaces, which equates to a parking rate of 0.18 spaces per student. This parking rate was compared to parking data published by ITE in *Parking Generation*, 4th Edition (2010). ITE identifies an average parking demand of 0.17 parking spaces per student for an elementary school. The parking demand based on City of Tukwila standards is 1.5 spaces per teacher/staff. The 46 teachers/staff would result in 69 parking spaces being required. The proposed parking supply of 80 spaces would therefore satisfy the ITE parking demand and City of Tukwila parking demand.

7. MITIGATION

The City of Tukwila has established transportation impact fees for several different land uses, including schools. The most applicable land in the City of Tukwila *Traffic Impact Fee Schedule 2007*, the most recent schedule still in effect, is elementary school. The Tukwila Birth to K school is located in Zone 3, which has a fee of \$119.31 per student. The traffic impact fee for the Tukwila Birth to K school, based on 440 students, is \$52,496.40.

It is important to note that this fee mitigates for all students at the school. However, the 300 kindergarten students are currently at separate schools throughout the jurisdiction and should arguably not need to be mitigated. The 140 pre-kindergarten and infant/toddler students would represent a mitigation fee of \$16,703.40.

No other off-site mitigation should be required for the Tukwila Birth to K school since the traffic analysis showed the study intersections operating at acceptable LOS C or better during the AM and School PM peak-hours.

166

8. CONCLUSIONS

The Tukwila Birth to K school is proposed to consist of an approximately 56,000 SF school with a maximum occupancy of 440 students. The majority of the students, approximately 300, will be kindergarten students. It is anticipated that 75% of these kindergarten students will utilize the provided bus service.

The school is anticipated to generate as many as 1,000 average daily trips with 247 AM peak-hour trips and 197 School PM peak-hour trips. The study intersections are anticipated to operate at LOS C or better in the future with the addition of these trips. The City of Tukwila traffic mitigation fee for the Tukwila Birth to K school will be \$52,496.40, based on the 440 students. However, the mitigation fees would only be \$16,703.40 if credit is applied for the 300 existing kindergarten students currently at separate schools within the district. No other off-site mitigation should be required.

Trip Generation Calculations

Tukwila Birth-to-K GTC #16-178

> Trip Generation for: Development Peak Weekday (a.k.a.): Average Weekday Daily Trips (AWDT)

													NET EXT	ERNAL T	RIPS BY .	гүре				
		1								N	BOTH DI	RECTIO	NS			DIRECTIO	NAL /	VSSIGN	MENTS	Γ
				Gros	s Trips		Inte Cros	rnal sover	ΤΟΤΑL	PAS	3-ВҮ	DIVEF	RTED IK	NEW	PASS	:-BY	DIVEF	KTED K	NEV	>
AND USES	VARIABLE	ITE LU code	Trip Rate	% Z	% out	In+Out (Total)	% of Gross Trips	Trips In+Out (Total)	In+Out (Total)	% of Ext. Trips	In+Out (Total)	% of Ext. Trips	In+Out (Total)	In+Out (Total)	E	Out	٩	Out	드	Out
Elementary School	300 Stdt	520	1.29	50%	50%	387	%0	0	387	%0	0	%0	0	387	0	0	0	0	194	193
Jaycare Center	140 Stdt	565	4.38	50%	50%	613	%0	0	613	75%	460	%0	0	153	230	230	0	0	77	76
Total						1,000		0	1,000		460		0	540	230	230	0	0	271	269

Tukwila Birth-to-K GTC #16-178 Trip Generation for: Development Peak Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour between 7 and 9 AM (a.k.a.): Weekday AM Peak Hour

_						_
		M	Out	61	13	74
	NMENTS	N	II	74	15	89
	0	0				
	NAL /	DIVEF	Ē	0	0	0
ТҮРЕ	DIRECTIC	S-BY	Out	0	39	39
RIPS BY		PAS:	IJ	0	45	45
TERNAL T		MEW	In+Out (Total)	135	28	163
NET EXT	SNC	ERTED NK	In+Out (Total)	0	0	0
	RECTIO	DIVE	% of Ext. Trips	%0	0%	
	BOTH DI	S-BY	In+Out (Total)	0	84	84
	IN	PAS	% of Ext. Trips	%0	75%	
		τοται	In+Out (Total)	135	112	247
		rnal sover	Trips In+Out (Total)	0	0	0
		Inte Cros	% of Gross Trips	%0	%0	
			In+Out (Total)	135	112	247
		s Trips	% 0UT	45%	47%	
		Gros	NI %	55%	53%	
			Trip Rate	0.45	0.80	
			ITE LU code	520	565	
			VARIABLE	300 Stdt	140 Stdt	
			LAND USES	Elementary School	Daycare Center	Total

Tukwila Birth-to-K GTC #16-178

Trip Generation for: Development Peak Weekday, PM Peak Hour of Generator (a.k.a.): PM Peak Hour of Generator

					1	
	M	Out	46	15	61	
NENTS	N	II	38	13	51	
NET EXTERNAL TRIPS BY TYPENATIONAL TOTALNET EXTERNAL TRIPS BY TYPEA TOTH DIFECTIONSDIFECTIONSA TOTAL ASSIGNMENTSConsoverInternalVARIABLETrip%%InternalToTALTripsInternalToTALVARIABLETrip%%%InternalToTALTripsInternalToTALNewPAS-BYDIVERTEDNEWVARIABLETrip%%%InternalToTAL%%InternalToTALMeWPAS-BYDIVERTEDNEWVARIABLETrip%%Intout%%Intout%%Intout%%NewVARIABLETrip%%NoNewEXICNewPAS-BYDIVERTEDNewNewVARIABLETrip%%Intout%%Intout%%NewNewVARIABLETrip%%NoNewNewPAS-BYDIVERTEDNewVARIABLETrip%%NoNewNewPAS-BYDIVERTEDNewVARIABLETrip%%NoNo%NewPAS-BYDIVERTEDNewVARIABLETrip%%NoNo%NoNoNewNewNewVARIABLETrip%%NoNo%						
	SAG	IJ	0	40	40	
	NEW	In+Out (Total)	84	28	112	
	.RTED NK	In+Out (Total)	0	0	0	
RECTIO	DIVE	% of Ext. Trips	%0	0%		
BOTH DI	S-BY	In+Out (Total)	0	85	85	
N	PAS	% of Ext. Trips	%0	75%		
	TOTAL	In+Out (Total)	84	113	197	
	rnal sover	Trips In+Out (Total)	0	0	0	
	Inte Cros	% of Gross Trips	%0	%0		
		In+Out (Total)	84	113	197	
	s Trips	% OUT	55%	53%		
	Gros	NI %	45%	47%		
		Trip Rate	0.28	0.81		
		ITE LU code	520	565		
		VARIABLE	300 Stdt	140 Stdt		
		LAND USES	Elementary School	Daycare Center	Total	

Traffic Volume Data and Calculations



Note: Two-hou	r count sui	nmary volu	mes inclu	de heavy v	ehicles but	exclude bi	cycles in ov	verall coun	t.						
Interval		Heav	y Vehicle 1	otals				Bicycles				Pedest	rians (Cros	ssing Leg)	
Start	EB	WB	NB	SB	Total	EB	WB	NB	SB	Total	East	West	North	South	Total
7:00 AM	0	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
7:15 AM	0	1	1	2	4	2	0	0	0	2	24	4	21	6	55
7:30 AM	3	5	3	7	18	2	0	0	0	2	29	14	114	3	160
7:45 AM	1	2	3	4	10	0	1	0	0	1	34	7	127	0	168
8:00 AM	1	2	2	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	17	0	25
8:15 AM	0	3	3	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	5	0	10
8:30 AM	1	3	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	0	11
8:45 AM	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	8
Count Total	6	17	15	20	58	4	1	0	0	5	101	35	298	9	443
Peak Hour	5	10	9	14	38	4	1	0	0	5	93	27	279	9	408



Start		EdSLDO	una			west	bound			Nortr	npouna			South	Jouna		Total	One Hour
start	UT	LT	TH	RT	UT	LT	TH	RT	UT	LT	TH	RT	UT	LT	TH	RT	· Stur	
7:00 AM	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	44	1	0	1	22	0	74	0
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	32	2	0	0	11	0	46	0
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	38	2	0	0	55	0	99	0
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	61	3	0	2	63	0	134	353
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	51	2	0	1	22	0	79	358
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	25	4	0	0	17	0	48	360
8:30 AM	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	23	1	0	0	27	0	54	315
8:45 AM	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	29	1	0	0	31	0	65	246
Count Total	0	2	0	3	0	16	0	5	0	2	303	16	0	4	248	0	599	0
Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	4	0	0	175	11	0	3	157	0	360	0
Note: Two-hou	r count si	immary v	olumes in	nclude h		phicles but	ovcluda	hicycles i	n overall	count								
	eoune ou	annindi y v	olumes m			meres but	Exclude	DICYCIES	n over un	count.								
Interval		He	avy Vehic	cle Tota	als	incles but	Exclude	bicycles i	Bicy	cles				Ped	lestrians	(Crossin	ig Leg)	
Interval Start	EB	He WB	avy Vehi o NB	cle Tota	ils SB	Total	EB	WB	Bicy N	cles B	SB	Total	East	Ped Wes	lestrians t No	(Crossin rth S	ig Leg) South	Total
Interval Start 7:00 AM	EB 1	He WB 0	avy Vehio NB 2	cle Tota	IIS SB 1	Total 4	EB 0	WB 0	Bicy N	cles B	SB 0	Total 0	East 1	Ped Wes 2	l estrians t No 1	(Crossin rth S	ig Leg) South O	Total 4
Interval Start 7:00 AM 7:15 AM	EB 1 0	He: WB 0 0	avy Vehic NB 2 0	cle Tota	IIS SB 1 3	Total 4 3	EB 0 0	WB 0 0	Bicy N (B	SB 0 0	Total 0 0	East 1 6	Ped Wes 2 3	lestrians t No 1 C	(Crossin rth S	ig Leg) South O O	Total 4 9
Interval Start 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM	EB 1 0 0	He: WB 0 0 0	avy Vehio NB 2 0 2	cle Tota	IIs SB 1 3 5	Total 4 3 7	EB 0 0 0	WB 0 0 0	Bicy N (cles B)))	SB 0 0 0	Total 0 0 0	East 1 6 14	Ped Wes 2 3 9	lestrians t No 1 ((Crossin rth S L	ng Leg) South O O 3	Total 4 9 27
Interval Start 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM	EB 1 0 0 0	He: WB 0 0 0 0 1	avy Vehio NB 2 0 2 2	cle Tota	1 3 5 2	Total 4 3 7 5	EB 0 0 0 0	WB 0 0 0 0	Bicy N ((((rcles B))))	SB 0 0 0 0	Total O O O O	East 1 6 14 22	Ped Wes 2 3 9 9	lestrians t No 1 (1 2	(Crossin rth S L L	ng Leg) South 0 3 2	Total 4 9 27 42
Interval Start 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM	EB 1 0 0 0 0	Hea WB 0 0 0 1 1	avy Vehio NB 2 0 2 2 2 4	cle Tota	1 SB 1 3 5 2 1	Total 4 3 7 5 6	EB 0 0 0 0 0 0	WB 0 0 0 0 0 0	Bicy N (((((((cles B)))))	SB 0 0 0 0 0	Total 0 0 0 0 0	East 1 6 14 22 1	Ped Wes 2 3 9 9 3	lestrians t No 1 C 1 S C	(Crossin rth S L) L	ng Leg) South 0 3 2 1	Total 4 9 27 42 5
Interval Start 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM	EB 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	Hes WB 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	avy Vehic NB 2 0 2 2 4 3	cle Tota	1 SB 1 3 5 2 1 0	Total 4 3 7 5 6 3	EB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Bicy N (((((((((((((())))))))))	cles B))))))	SB 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	East 1 6 14 22 1 9	Ped Wes 2 3 9 9 3 11	lestrians t <u>No</u> 1 (1 5 (3	(Crossin rth S L) L) 5	ng Leg) South 0 3 2 1 18	Total 4 9 27 42 5 73
Interval Start 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM	EB 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	He WB 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	avy Vehia NB 2 0 2 2 4 3 0	cle Tota	SB 1 3 5 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 <th1< th=""> 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<>	Total 4 3 7 5 6 3 2	EB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Bicy N ((((((((((((((((((cles B))))))))	SB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	East 1 6 14 22 1 9 0	Ped Wes 2 3 9 9 3 11 4	lestrians t No 1 c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	(Crossin rth S L) L) 5)	by Leg) South 0 3 2 1 18 0	Total 4 9 27 42 5 73 4
Interval Start 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM	EB 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	He WB 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1	avy Vehia NB 2 0 2 4 3 0 0	cle Tota	IIS SB 1 3 5 2 1 0 2 0 2 0	Total 4 3 7 5 6 3 2 1	EB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Bicy N (((((((((((((((())))))))	cles B)))))))))	SB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	East 1 6 14 22 1 9 0 0	Ped Wes 2 3 9 9 3 11 4 1	t No t No 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(Crossin rth <u>S</u>))) 5))	g Leg) South 0 3 2 1 18 0 0	Total 4 9 27 42 5 73 4 1
Interval Start 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM 8:45 AM Count Total	EB 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	Hee WB 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 3	avy Vehic NB 2 0 2 4 3 0 0 13	cle Tota	III SB 1 3 5 2 1 0 2 0 2 0 14	Total 4 3 7 5 6 3 2 1 31	EB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WB 0	Bicy N ((((((((((((((((((cles B)))))))))))	SB 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	East 1 6 14 22 1 9 0 0 0 53	Ped Wes 2 3 9 9 3 11 4 1 42	lestrians t No 1 0 1 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(Crossin rth <u>S</u>)) 5)) 6	g Leg) South 0 3 2 1 18 0 0 24	Total 4 9 27 42 5 73 4 1 165







Peak Hour	0	33	150	38	0	59	179	44	0	49	81	54	0	44	124	37	892	0
Count Total	0	57	245	82	0	80	286	88	1	92	161	103	0	70	194	66	1525	0
3:15 PM	0	8	38	12	0	16	47	9	0	18	28	15	0	3	26	7	227	892
3:00 PM	0	9	39	8	0	14	50	8	0	8	24	14	0	11	41	20	246	831
2:45 PM	0	7	38	7	0	20	53	13	0	10	12	10	0	16	37	4	227	747
2:30 PM	0	9	35	11	0	9	29	14	0	13	17	15	0	14	20	6	192	670
2:15 PM	0	7	22	11	0	3	31	15	0	14	14	18	0	11	14	6	166	633
2:00 PM	0	9	28	15	0	6	33	6	1	9	19	12	0	5	14	5	162	0
1:45 PIM	0	5	24	9	0	5	19	11	0	12	19	10	0	4	21	11	150	0

Note: Two-houi	r count sui	mmary volu	imes inclu	de heavy v	ehicles but	exclude bi	cycles in o	verall coun	t.						
Interval		Heav	y Vehicle T	otals				Bicycles				Pedest	rians (Cros	ssing Leg)	
Start	EB	WB	NB	SB	Total	EB	WB	NB	SB	East	West	North	South	Total	
1:30 PM	2	5	1	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	5
1:45 PM	0	3	1	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
2:00 PM	2	3	3	2	10	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	6	1	10
2:15 PM	1	0	3	2	6	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	5	1	8
2:30 PM	2	1	0	7	10	0	4	0	0	4	54	12	235	3	304
2:45 PM	1	2	1	1	5	1	0	0	0	1	30	6	84	4	124
3:00 PM	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	25	1	39
3:15 PM	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4	14	0	24
Count Total	9	15	10	17	51	1	5	1	1	8	98	34	374	11	517
Peak Hour	4	4	2	9	19	1	4	0	1	6	96	29	358	8	491



Intorval		-				-				-							- 15 min	Polling
Start		Eastk	oound			West	bound			North	nbound			South	bound		Total	
Start	UT	LT	ТН	RT	UT	LT	ТН	RT	UT	LT	ТН	RT	UT	LT	TH	RT	Total	One Hou
1:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	37	3	0	0	28	1	71	0
1:45 PM	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	1	37	0	0	0	29	1	74	0
2:00 PM	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	32	2	0	1	28	0	68	0
2:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	1	0	0	40	1	72	285
2:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	39	2	0	3	35	0	84	298
2:45 PM	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	35	3	0	2	46	0	91	315
3:00 PM	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	42	1	0	0	58	0	105	352
3:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	5	0	0	39	0	86	366
Count Total	0	3	0	2	0	8	0	10	0	5	294	17	0	6	303	3	651	0
Peak Hour	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	6	0	3	158	11	0	5	178	0	366	0
Note: Two-hou	r count s	ummary	volumes	include l	heavy veł	nicles but	exclude	bicycles	in overall	count.								
Interval		Н	leavv Vel	nicle Tota	als				Bicv	/cles				Pe	destrians	(Crossir	ng Leg)	

Interval		Heav	y Vehicle 1	Fotals				Bicycles				Pedest	trians (Cro	ssing Leg)	
Start	EB	WB	NB	SB	Total	EB	WB	NB	SB	Total	East	West	North	South	Total
1:30 PM	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
1:45 PM	0	2	4	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	4
2:00 PM	1	0	2	3	6	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	3
2:15 PM	0	0	2	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3
2:30 PM	0	0	3	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	25	13	10	6	54
2:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	8	2	4	24
3:00 PM	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	6
3:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	3	1	8
Count Total	1	2	13	18	34	0	0	1	2	3	43	30	17	13	103
Peak Hour	0	0	4	5	9	0	0	0	2	2	40	24	16	12	92





Level of Service Calculations

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh	12											
Intersection LOS	В											
Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations	_		4		-		4				44	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	0	33	123	27	0	46	105	53	0	36	115	59
Future Vol, veh/h	0	33	123	27	0	46	105	53	0	36	115	59
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	2	5	5	5
Mvmt Flow	0	41	154	34	0	58	131	66	0	45	144	74
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Approach		EB				WB				NB		
Opposing Approach		WB				EB				SB		
Opposing Lanes		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Left		SB				NB				EB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Right		NB				SB				WB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				1				1		
HCM Control Delay		11.9				12.2				12.4		
HCM LOS		В				В				В		
Lane		NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1							
Vol Left. %		17%	18%	23%	41%							
Vol Thru. %		55%	67%	51%	39%							
Vol Right. %		28%	15%	26%	19%							
Sian Control		Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
Traffic Vol by Lane		210	183	204	145							
LT Vol		36	33	46	60							
Through Vol		115	123	105	57							
RT Vol		59	27	53	28							
Lane Flow Rate		262	229	255	181							
Geometry Grp		1	1	1	1							
Degree of Util (X)		0.404	0.359	0.393	0.291							
Departure Headway (Hd)		5.542	5.642	5.542	5.786							
Convergence, Y/N		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							
Сар		646	634	645	618							
Service Time		3.604	3.705	3.603	3.853							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.406	0.361	0.395	0.293							
HCM Control Delay		12.4	11.9	12.2	11.2							
HCM Lane LOS		В	В	В	В							
HCM 95th-tile Q		2	1.6	1.9	1.2							

Intersection		

Intersection Delay, s/veh Intersection LOS

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			\$		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	60	57	28	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	60	57	28	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.80	0.80	0.80	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	5	5	5	
Mvmt Flow	0	75	71	35	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	
Approach		SB			
Opposing Approach		NB			
Opposing Lanes		1			
Conflicting Approach Left		WB			
Conflicting Lanes Left		1			
Conflicting Approach Right		EB			
Conflicting Lanes Right		1			
HCM Control Delay		11.2			
HCM LOS		В			

Intersection							
Int Delay, s/veh 0	.7						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		¢î			्र	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	10	4	175	11	3	57	
Future Vol, veh/h	10	4	175	11	3	57	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	70	70	73	73	62	62	
Heavy Vehicles, %	14	14	6	6	5	5	
Mvmt Flow	14	6	240	15	5	92	
Major/Minor	Minor1		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	349	247	0	0	255	0	
Stage 1	247	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	102	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwv	6.54	6.34	-	-	4.15	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwv	3.626	3.426	-	-	2.245	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	625	763	-	-	1293	-	
Stage 1	767	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	893	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	623	763	-	-	1293	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	623	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	767	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	889	-	-	-	-	-	
5							
Approach	WB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.7		0		0.4		
HCM LOS	В						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1 SE	BL SBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 657 129	93 -				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.03 0.00)4 -				
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 10.7 7	.8 0				
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	A A				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.1	0 -				

Tukwila School Birth to K

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	39	147	32	55	125	63	43	137	70	72	68	33
Future Volume (vph)	39	147	32	55	125	63	43	137	70	72	68	33
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.980			0.965			0.962			0.974	
Flt Protected		0.991			0.989			0.991			0.980	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1757	0	0	1727	0	0	1725	0	0	1727	0
Flt Permitted		0.892			0.864			0.910			0.797	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1582	0	0	1509	0	0	1584	0	0	1405	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		18			37			41			24	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		526			2207			379			654	
Travel Time (s)		12.0			50.2			8.6			14.9	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	273	0	0	304	0	0	313	0	0	216	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Detector Phase	4	4		8	8		2	2		6	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0	
Total Split (%)	50.0%	50.0%		50.0%	50.0%		50.0%	50.0%		50.0%	50.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
I otal Lost Time (s)		4.5			4.5			4.5			4.5	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		Min	Min		Min	Min	
Act Effect Green (s)		11./			11.7			11.5			11.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.36			0.36			0.35			0.35	
V/C Ratio		0.48			0.54			0.54			0.43	
Control Delay		11.4			12.1			11.8			10.8	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
		П.4 П			IZ.I			0.11 م			8.UI م	
LUS Approach Dolou		Б 11 4			10 1			Б 11 0			10.0	
Approach LOS		П.4 П			IZ.I			۱۱.۵ م			10.8 D	
Appillauri LUS		В 20			В 01			5 11			В 11	
Queue Lengin SUIT (II)		27 م			ა იი			3Z 00			22 4 E	
Queue Lengin 95(1) (11)		ŏ۷ ۸۸۷			00 7177			90 200			CO 574	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		440			2127			299			5/4	
Base Capacity (vph)		1276			1221			1282			1135	

2022 Baseline Conditions Gibson Traffic Consultants, Inc. [BJL 16-178] AM Peak-Hour

1: 42nd Avenue S	& S 144	th Stre	et							Tukwila	School Bi	rth to K
	٦	→	\mathbf{r}	4	+	*	•	t	1	\$	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.21			0.25			0.24			0.19	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 60												
Actuated Cycle Length: 32	2.9											
Natural Cycle: 45												
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.54												
Intersection Signal Delay:	11.6			In	tersection	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz Analysis Period (min) 15	zation 46.9%			IC	CU Level (of Service	A					

Splits and Phases: 1: 42nd Avenue S & S 144th Street

¶ø2	<u>→</u> _{Ø4}
30 s	30 s
▼Ø6	₩ Ø8
30 s	30 s

Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh 0.	.7							
Movement	WBL	WBR		NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y			ţ,			र्च	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	12	5		209	13	4	68	
Future Vol, veh/h	12	5		209	13	4	68	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	70	70		73	73	62	62	
Heavy Vehicles, %	14	14		6	6	5	5	
Mymt Flow	17	7		286	18	6	110	
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	418	295		0	0	304	0	
Stage 1	295	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	123	-		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwv	6.54	6.34		-	-	4.15	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.54	-		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.54	-		-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwv	3.626	3.426		-	-	2.245	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	569	717		-	-	1240	-	
Stage 1	729	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	874	-		-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked. %				-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	566	717		-	-	1240	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	566	-		-	-		-	
Stage 1	729	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	870	-		-	_	-	-	
Approach	WB			NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	11.2			0		0.4		
HCM LOS	В			-				
	5							
Minor Lane/Maior Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 603	1240	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.04	0.005	-				
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 11.2	7 9	0				
HCM Lane LOS	-	- R	Δ	Ă				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.1	0	-				

AM Peak-Hour

Tukwila School Birth to K

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	-	×	1	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	99	147	32	55	125	77	43	177	70	83	102	84
Future Volume (vph)	99	147	32	55	125	77	43	177	70	83	102	84
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.984			0.960			0.967			0.958	
Flt Protected		0.982			0.989			0.993			0.985	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1749	0	0	1718	0	0	1738	0	0	1708	0
Flt Permitted		0.800			0.873			0.907			0.812	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1424	0	0	1517	0	0	1587	0	0	1408	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		14			45			33			47	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		526			2207			379			654	
Travel Time (s)		12.0			50.2			8.6			14.9	
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	348	0	0	321	0	0	363	0	0	337	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Detector Phase	4	4		8	8		2	2		6	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0	
Total Split (%)	50.0%	50.0%		50.0%	50.0%		50.0%	50.0%		50.0%	50.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		4.5			4.5			4.5			4.5	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		Min	Min		Min	Min	
Act Effect Green (s)		15.0			15.0			14.4			14.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.38			0.38			0.37			0.37	
V/C Ratio		0.63			0.53			0.60			0.62	
Control Delay		16.2			12.4			14.6			15.0	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		16.2			12.4 D			14.0 D			15.0	
LUS Approach Dalay		1/ 0			10 A			В 147			1F 0	
Approach LOS		10.2			12.4			14.0			15.U n	
Approach Longth Eath (#)		ГО В			20 B			Б			AD B	
Queue Lengin SUIN (II)		0C			30 107			00 107			43	
Queue Lengin 95(n (ii)		134			107 107			127			/ E74	
Turn Pay Longth (ft)		440			2127			299			3/4	
runi Day Lengin (II) Raso Canacity (unb)		1000			100 <i>1</i>			1120			1000	
Dase Capacity (vpi)		1009			1004			1120			1000	

2016 Future Conditions with Development

Gibson Traffic Consultants, Inc. [BJL 16-178]

AM Peak-Hour

1: 42nd Avenue S	& S 144	th Stre	et							Tukwila	School Bi	rth to K
	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	4	+	*	•	t	۲	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0				0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0				0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.34			0.30			0.32			0.33	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 60												
Actuated Cycle Length: 39	0.3											
Natural Cycle: 45												
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63												
Intersection Signal Delay:	14.6			In	tersectior	ו LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz Analysis Period (min) 15	zation 60.7%			IC	CU Level (of Service	B					

Splits and Phases: 1: 42nd Avenue S & S 144th Street

[™] ¶ø2	<u>→</u> _{Ø4}
30 s	30 s
▼Ø6	₩ Ø8
30 s	30 s

Intersection								
Int Delay, s/veh 4	.5							
Movement	WBL	WBR		NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y			ţ,			र्च	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	108	22		209	127	24	68	
Future Vol, veh/h	108	22		209	127	24	68	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None		-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	70	70		73	73	62	62	
Heavy Vehicles, %	14	14		6	6	5	5	
Mymt Flow	154	31		286	174	39	110	
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	560	373		0	0	460	0	
Stage 1	373	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	187	-		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwv	6.54	6.34		-	-	4.15	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.54	-		-	-	_	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.54	-		-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwv	3.626	3.426		-	-	2.245	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	470	647		-	-	1085	-	
Stage 1	671	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	817	-		-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked %	017			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	452	647		-	-	1085	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	452	-		-	-	-	-	
Stane 1	671	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 7	786	_		-	-	-	-	
Stuge 2	700							
Approach	WB			NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	17.3			0		2.2		-
HCM LOS	С							
	-							
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT				
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 476	1085	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.39	0.036	-				
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 17.3	8.4	0				
HCM Lane LOS	-	- C.	A	Ă				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 1.8	0.1	-				

Intersection												
Intersection Delay, s/veh Intersection LOS	12.6 B											
Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBU	NBL	NBT	NBR
Lane Configurations			4				4				4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	33	150	38	0	59	179	44	0	49	81	54
Future Vol, veh/h	0	33	150	38	0	59	179	44	0	49	81	54
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	36	165	42	0	65	197	48	0	54	89	59
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Approach		EB				WB				NB		
Opposing Approach		WB				EB				SB		
Opposing Lanes		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Left		SB				NB				EB		
Conflicting Lanes Left		1				1				1		
Conflicting Approach Right		NB				SB				WB		
Conflicting Lanes Right		1				1				1		
HCM Control Delay		12.2				13.7				11.7		
HCM LOS		В				В				В		
					0.51 4							
Lane		NBLNI	EBLNI	WBLNI	SBLNT							
Vol Left, %		27%	15%	21%	21%							
Vol Thru, %		44%	68%	63%	60%							
VOI RIGNI, %		29%	1/%	16%	18% Ctore							
Sign Control		510p	SIOP	Slop	Slop							
Trailic vol by Lane		184	221	282	205							
LI VUI		49	33	59 170	44							
Through Vol		81	150	1/9	124							
KT VUI Lana Flow Data		54 202	38	44 210	37 225							
Larie Flow Rale		202	243	310	220							
Degree of Litil (Y)			ا 0 201		0.242							
Departure Headway (Hd)		0.320	0.301		0.303 E 001							
		0.79Z	0.001 Voc	0.000 Voc	0.001 Voc							
Convergence, r/m Can		617	162	6/5	617							
Service Time		2 240	2 772	2 6040	2 875							
HCM Lane V/C Patio		0 207	0.123	0.024 0.101	0.245							
HCM Control Delay		11 7	12.2	12.7	12.2							
HCM Lane LOS		11.7 R	12.2 R	13.7 R	12.2 R							
HCM 95th-tile O		1.4	1.8	2.6	1.7							

School PM Peak

Intersection		
Intersection Delay s/veh		

Intersection LOS

Movement	SBU	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			\$		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	44	124	37	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	44	124	37	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	48	136	41	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	
Approach		SB			
Opposing Approach		NB			
Opposing Lanes		1			
Conflicting Approach Left		WB			
Conflicting Lanes Left		1			
Conflicting Approach Right		EB			
Conflicting Lanes Right		1			
HCM Control Delay		12.2			
HCM LOS		В			

Int Delay, s/veh0.6MovementWBLWBRNBTNBRSBLSBTLane ConfigurationsYImage: Configuration stateImage: Configuration stateImage: Configuration stateTraffic Vol, veh/h36158115178Future Vol, veh/h36158115178
MovementWBLWBRNBTNBRSBLSBTLane ConfigurationsYImage: Second seco
Lane Configurations Y Image: Configuration of the second
Traffic Vol, veh/h 3 6 158 11 5 178 Future Vol, veh/h 3 6 158 11 5 178
Future Vol, veh/h 3 6 158 11 5 178
Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Free
RT Channelized - None - None - None
Storage Length 0
Veh in Median Storage, # 0 - 0 - 0
Grade, % 0 - 0 - 0
Peak Hour Factor 45 45 91 91 79 79
Heavy Vehicles, % 0 0 2 2 3 3
Mvmt Flow 7 13 174 12 6 225
Major/Minor Minor1 Major1 Major2
Conflicting Flow All 418 180 0 0 186 0
Stage 1 180
Stage 2 238
Critical Hdwy 6.4 6.2 4.13 -
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 5.4
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 5.4
Follow-up Hdwy 3.5 3.3 2.227 -
Pot Can-1 Maneuver 595 868 1382 -
Stage 1 856
Stage 2 806
Platoon blocked. %
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver 592 868 1382 -
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver 592
Stage 1 856
Stage 2 802
Approach WB NB SB
HCM Control Delay, s 9.9 0 0.2
HCM LOS A
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBT NBRWBLn1 SBL SBT
Capacity (veh/h) 751 1382 -
HCM I ane V/C Ratio 0.027 0.005 -
HCM Control Delay (s) $ -$ 9.9 7.6 0
HCM Lane LOS A A A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) 0.1 0 -

School PM Peak

Tukwila School Birth to K

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	*	1	t	۲	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	39	179	45	70	214	53	59	97	64	53	148	44
Future Volume (vph)	39	179	45	70	214	53	59	97	64	53	148	44
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt		0.977			0.979			0.961			0.976	
Flt Protected		0.993			0.990			0.987			0.989	
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1807	0	0	1805	0	0	1767	0	0	1798	0
Flt Permitted		0.905			0.872			0.862			0.879	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1647	0	0	1590	0	0	1543	0	0	1598	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		23			21			40			21	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		526			2207			379			654	
Travel Time (s)		12.0			50.2			8.6			14.9	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	289	0	0	370	0	0	242	0	0	269	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Detector Phase	4	4		8	8		2	2		6	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5	
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0		32.0	32.0		28.0	28.0		28.0	28.0	
Total Split (%)	53.3%	53.3%		53.3%	53.3%		46.7%	46.7%		46.7%	46.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		4.5			4.5			4.5			4.5	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		Min	Min		Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)		13.1			13.1			11.0			11.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.39			0.39			0.33			0.33	
v/c Ratio		0.44			0.59			0.46			0.50	
Control Delay		9.8			12.4			11.4			13.0	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		9.8			12.4			11.4			13.0	
LOS		А			В			В			В	
Approach Delay		9.8			12.4			11.4			13.0	
Approach LOS		А			В			В			В	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		30			42			25			31	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		91			125			87			103	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		446			2127			299			574	
Turn Bay Length (ft)												
Base Capacity (vph)		1377			1330			1155			1191	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	

2022 Baseline Conditions

Gibson Traffic Consultants, Inc. [BJL 16-178]

School PM Peak

1: 42nd Avenue S	S & S 144	th Stre	et							Tukwila	School Bi	rth to K
	٦	-	\mathbf{i}	4	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.21			0.28			0.21			0.23	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 60												
Actuated Cycle Length: 3	3.7											
Natural Cycle: 45												
Control Type: Actuated-U	ncoordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59												
Intersection Signal Delay:	11.7			In	ntersection	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation 53.1%			IC	CU Level	of Service	Α					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 1: 42nd Avenue S & S 144th Street

<↑ ø₂	<u></u> 4
28 s	32 s
▼Ø6	€ Ø8
28 s	32 s

Intersection										
Int Delay, s/veh 0.6										
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT				
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î			ર્ન				
Traffic Vol, veh/h	4	7	189	13	6	213				
Future Vol, veh/h	4	7	189	13	6	213				
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free				
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None				
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-				
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	0	-	-	0				
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0				
Peak Hour Factor	45	45	91	91	79	79				
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	2	3	3				
Mymt Flow	9	16	208	14	8	270				
			200		Ũ	2.0				
Major/Minor	Minor1		Major1		Major2					
Conflicting Flow All	500	215	0	0	222	0				
Stage 1	215	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	285	-	-	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2	-	-	4.13	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4		-	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-	-	-	-	-				
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	-	-	2,227	-				
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	534	830	-	-	1341	-				
Stage 1	826	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	768	-	-	-	-	-				
Platoon blocked. %			-	-		-				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	530	830	-	-	1341	-				
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	530	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 1	826	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	763	-	-	-	-	-				
Approach	WB		NB		SB					
HCM Control Delay, s	10.4		0		0.2					
HCM LOS	В									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1 SE	BL SBT							
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 688 134	41 -							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.036 0.00)6 -							
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 10.4 7	.7 0							
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	A A							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.1	0 -							

Tukwila School Birth to K

Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations +		≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	-	×	1	1	۲	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Configurations $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Volume (vph) 80 179 45 70 214 62 59 124 64 63 180 92 Future Volume (vph) 80 179 45 70 214 62 59 124 64 63 180 92 Ideal Flow (vph) 1900 100 1.00	Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			÷	
Future Volume (vph) 80 179 45 70 214 62 59 124 64 63 180 92 Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 190 0.976 0.867 0.889 0.991 0.889 0.991 0.867 0.889 0.889 0.867 0.889 0.833 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91<	Traffic Volume (vph)	80	179	45	70	214	62	59	124	64	63	180	92
Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 1001 101 101	Future Volume (vph)	80	179	45	70	214	62	59	124	64	63	180	92
Lane Util. Factor 1.00 1.	Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Frt0.9800.9760.9650.963Flt Protected0.9870.9900.9880.991Satd. Flow (prot)018020018000017760017780Flt Permitted0.8440.8790.8670.8670.889Satd. Flow (perm)015410015980015580015950Right Turn on RedYesYesYesYesYesYesYesSatd. Flow (RTOR)192434373030303030Link Speed (mph)30<	Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Flt Protected 0.987 0.990 0.988 0.991 Satd. Flow (prot) 0 1802 0 0 1800 0 0 1776 0 0 1778 0 Flt Permitted 0.844 0.879 0.867 0.889 0.889 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1541 0 0 1598 0 0 1558 0 0 1595 0 Right Turn on Red Yes Ye	Frt		0.980			0.976			0.965			0.963	
Satd. Flow (prot) 0 1802 0 0 1800 0 1776 0 0 1778 0 Flt Permitted 0.844 0.879 0.867 0.867 0.889 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1541 0 0 1598 0 0 1558 0 0 1595 0 Right Turn on Red Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Satd. Flow (RTOR) 19 24 34 37 30	Flt Protected		0.987			0.990			0.988			0.991	
Flt Permitted 0.844 0.879 0.867 0.889 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1541 0 0 1598 0 0 1558 0 0 1595 0 Right Turn on Red Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Satd. Flow (RTOR) 19 24 34 37 37 Link Speed (mph) 30 30 30 30 30 30 Link Speed (mph) 526 2207 379 654 14.9 Peak Hour Factor 0.91 <td>Satd. Flow (prot)</td> <td>0</td> <td>1802</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1800</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1776</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1778</td> <td>0</td>	Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1802	0	0	1800	0	0	1776	0	0	1778	0
Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1541 0 0 1598 0 0 1558 0 0 1595 0 Right Turn on Red Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Satd. Flow (RTOR) 19 24 34 37 30 31 30 30 <t< td=""><td>Flt Permitted</td><td></td><td>0.844</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.879</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.867</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.889</td><td></td></t<>	Flt Permitted		0.844			0.879			0.867			0.889	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1541	0	0	1598	0	0	1558	0	0	1595	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR) 19 24 34 37 Link Speed (mph) 30 30 30 30 30 Link Distance (ft) 526 2207 379 654 Travel Time (s) 12.0 50.2 8.6 14.9 Peak Hour Factor 0.91 <td< td=""><td>Right Turn on Red</td><td></td><td></td><td>Yes</td><td></td><td></td><td>Yes</td><td></td><td></td><td>Yes</td><td></td><td></td><td>Yes</td></td<>	Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Link Speed (mph) 30 30 30 30 30 30 Link Distance (ft) 526 2207 379 654 Travel Time (s) 12.0 50.2 8.6 14.9 Peak Hour Factor 0.91<	Satd. Flow (RTOR)		19			24			34			37	
Link Distance (ft) 526 2207 379 654 Travel Time (s) 12.0 50.2 8.6 14.9 Peak Hour Factor 0.91	Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Link Distance (ft)		526			2207			379			654	
Peak Hour Factor 0.91 0.9	Travel Time (s)		12.0			50.2			8.6			14.9	
Shared Lane Traffic (%) 0 334 0 0 380 0 0 271 0 0 368 0 Turn Type Perm NA Perm NA Perm NA Perm NA Perm NA Protected Phases 4 8 2 6 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 2 6 6 Detector Phase 4 4 8 2 2 6 6 Switch Phase 5.0 <t< td=""><td>Peak Hour Factor</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td><td>0.91</td></t<>	Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Lane Group Flow (vph)03340038000271003680Turn TypePermNAPermNAPermNAPermNAPermNAProtected Phases48266Permitted Phases488266Detector Phase44882266Switch PhaseMinimum Initial (s)5.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.0Minimum Split (s)22.5 <t< td=""><td>Shared Lane Traffic (%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Turn TypePermNAPermNAPermNAProtected Phases4826Permitted Phases4826Detector Phase44826Switch Phase	Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	334	0	0	380	0	0	271	0	0	368	0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Permitted Phases4826Detector Phase44882266Switch Phase5.05.05.05.05.05.05.0Minimum Initial (s)5.05.05.05.05.05.05.05.0Minimum Split (s)22.522.522.522.522.522.522.5Total Split (s)32.032.032.032.028.028.028.0Total Split (%)53.3%53.3%53.3%46.7%46.7%46.7%Yellow Time (s)3.53.53.53.53.53.5	Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Detector Phase 4 4 8 8 2 2 6 6 Switch Phase	Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Switch PhaseMinimum Initial (s)5.05.05.05.05.05.0Minimum Split (s)22.522.522.522.522.522.5Total Split (s)32.032.032.032.028.028.028.0Total Split (%)53.3%53.3%53.3%53.3%46.7%46.7%46.7%Yellow Time (s)3.53.53.53.53.53.53.5	Detector Phase	4	4		8	8		2	2		6	6	
Minimum Initial (s)5.05.05.05.05.05.05.0Minimum Split (s)22.522.522.522.522.522.522.522.5Total Split (s)32.032.032.032.028.028.028.028.0Total Split (%)53.3%53.3%53.3%53.3%46.7%46.7%46.7%Yellow Time (s)3.53.53.53.53.53.53.5	Switch Phase												
Minimum Split (s)22.522	Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Total Split (s)32.032.032.032.028.028.028.028.0Total Split (%)53.3%53.3%53.3%53.3%46.7%46.7%46.7%Yellow Time (s)3.53.53.53.53.53.53.5	Minimum Split (s)	22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5		22.5	22.5	
Total Split (%) 53.3% 53.3% 53.3% 46.7% 46.7% 46.7% Yellow Time (s) 3.5	Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0		32.0	32.0		28.0	28.0		28.0	28.0	
Yellow Time (s) 3.5	Total Split (%)	53.3%	53.3%		53.3%	53.3%		46.7%	46.7%		46.7%	46.7%	
	Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	
All-Red Time (s) 1.0	All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s) 4.5 4.5 4.5	Total Lost Time (s)		4.5			4.5			4.5			4.5	
Lead/Lag	Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode None None None Min Min Min Min	Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		Min	Min		Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s) 14.5 14.5 13.7 13.7	Act Effct Green (s)		14.5			14.5			13.7			13.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.38 0.38 0.36 0.36	Actuated g/C Ratio		0.38			0.38			0.36			0.36	
v/c Ratio 0.56 0.61 0.47 0.62	v/c Ratio		0.56			0.61			0.47			0.62	
Control Delay 13.3 14.1 12.0 14.9	Control Delay		13.3			14.1			12.0			14.9	
Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
I otal Delay 13.3 14.1 12.0 14.9	Total Delay		13.3			14.1			12.0			14.9	
LOS B B B B	LOS		В			В			В			В	
Approach Delay 13.3 14.1 12.0 14.9	Approach Delay		13.3			14.1			12.0			14.9	
Approach LOS B B B B	Approach LOS		В			В			В			В	
Queue Length 50th (ft) 43 50 32 48	Queue Length 50th (ft)		43			50			32			48	
Queue Length Y5th (II) 135 155 109 155 Intermediate Dist (II) 144 2107 200 75	Queue Length 95th (tt)		135			155			109			155	
Internal LINK DISt (Tt) 446 2127 299 574 Turn Bay Lenoth (ft)	Internal LINK Dist (ft)		446			2127			299			5/4	
Base Capacity (vph) 1181 1225 1061 1087	Base Capacity (vph)		1181			1225			1061			1087	
Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0	Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	

2022 Future Conditions with Development Gibson Traffic Consultants, Inc. [BJL 16-178]

School PM Peak

	≯	+	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.28			0.31			0.26			0.34	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 60												
Actuated Cycle Length: 38	}											
Natural Cycle: 45												
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.62												
Intersection Signal Delay:	13.7			In	tersectior	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 55.1%			IC	CU Level	of Service	B					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 1: 42nd Avenue S & S 144th Street

≪¶ø₂	<u>→</u> _{Ø4}
28 s	32 s
▼Ø6	₩ Ø8
28 s	32 s

Tukwila School Birth to K

Intersection									
Int Delay, s/veh 6									
Movement	WBL	WBR		NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	Y			ţ,			र्च		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	94	23		189	90	20	213		
Future Vol, veh/h	94	23		189	90	20	213		
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0		0	0	0	0		
Sign Control	Stop	Stop		Free	Free	Free	Free		
RT Channelized		None		-	None	-	None		
Storage Length	0	-		-	-	-	-		
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-		0	-	-	0		
Grade, %	0	-		0	-	-	0		
Peak Hour Factor	45	45		91	91	79	79		
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0		2	2	3	3		
Mymt Flow	209	51		208	99	25	270		
Major/Minor	Minor1			Major1		Major2			
Conflicting Flow All	577	257		0	0	307	0		
Stage 1	257	-		-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	320	-		-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	6.4	6.2		-	-	4.13	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.4	-		-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.4	-		-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3		-	-	2.227	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	482	787		-	-	1248	-		
Stage 1	791	-		-	-		-		
Stage 2	741	-		-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked %				-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	470	787		-	-	1248	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	470	-		-	-		-		
Stane 1	701	-		-	_	-	_		
Stage 7	723	-		-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	725								
Approach	WB			NB		SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	19.2			0		0.7			
HCM LOS	С								
	-								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT					
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 510	1248	-					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.51	0.02	-					
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 19.2	7.9	0					
HCM Lane LOS	-	- C	А	А					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 2.9	0.1	-					