COUNCIL AGENDA SYNOPSIS



	Initials								
Meeting Date	Prepared by	Mayor's review	Council review						
07/10/17	RB	deme	DLR						

ITEM No.

3.B.

ITEM INFORMATION

[TAFF SP					CHI	-		ORIG	TNIA	ACEN	IDA DATE	: 07/10/17
A T									CHI			ORIG	IIV.A.	J 11G151N		07 10 17
Agenda Iti	EM 11T1	E Pu	DHC	Safety	Pla	ın Sitii	ng upa	ate								
												Y				
CATEGORY	Dis	cussion		Motion		Re.	solution] Ordinar	nce	Bi	id Awai	rd	Pul	blic Hearing	Other
	Mtg Dat	e 07/10/17	Mtg 1	Date		Mtg Dai	te	Mi	tg Date		Mtg D	ate		Mtg Dat	e	Mtg Date
Sponsor	Cou.	ncil 🛛 M	ayor	$\square HR$]DCD	Fine	ance	☐Fire		[S []P&R		Police	$\square PW$	☐ Court
Sponsor's Summary		Staff and	our	progra	am	mana	gemen	t te	am wis	h to	provi	de th	e C	ouncil	with an	update on
SUMMARY	1	the siting	g pro	cess to	or t	he Pul	blic Sai	rety	Plan, a	as we	eli as	key ta	arg	et date	es ana o	utcomes.
REVIEWED E	BY [C.O.W	. Mtg		Ī	7 CDN	V Comm	 1		Financ	ce Cor	nm.		N Pub	lic Safety	Comm.
	[0	astructui	re [Arts	Comm.			Parks	Comn	n.			ning Con	
]	OATE:	N/A						COMM	11TTE	EE CH	IAIR:				
RECOMN	IENI	OITAC	NS:													
		Sponsoi			ayo	r's Off	fice		·							
		Cc	MMI'l	TEE												
				C)S	T IMI	PACT	' / F	UND	SOL	JRC	Ε				
Exi	ENDIT	JRE R EQU	IRED				Амо	JNT E	BUDGETEE)				APPRO	PRIATION R	EQUIRED
	\$							\$							\$	
Fund Source	:															
Comments:																
MTG. DA	ATE					RI	ECOF	RD (OF C	NUC	ICIL	ACT		N		
07/10/	17															
			····													
14TO D	. ==			<u>-</u>					-T A O		- > 1 = 7					
MTG. D/	 +	Informa	.tio=	al Man			a datas		TACI		:NI	<u> </u>				
07/10/	1/	Draft Si						טט נ	/29/1/	/						
																
		Site Si	s i ec.	tion_c	rit	eria	matrix	((3) appr	oved	-thr	u con	sen	sus a	t 5/22	C.O.W.



City of Tukwila

Allan Ekberg, Mayor

INFORMATIONAL MEMORANDUM

TO: City Council – Committee of the Whole

FROM: Rachel Bianchi, Communications and Government Relations Manager

CC: Mayor Ekberg

DATE: June 29, 2017

SUBJECT: Public Safety Plan Site Acquisition Process Update

ISSUE

To secure sites for the new facilities proposed by the Public Safety Plan, the City has engaged Shiels Obletz Johnsen (SOJ) and Heartland, LLC, to lead the City's land search and acquisition process. The Administration proposed to provide an update to the Council on the progress made thus far, and the schedule for future activities.

BACKGROUND

Pursuant to Site Selection Criteria approved by the Council, and with the guidance of the FACETS report, SOJ and Heartland have begun the process to identify possible sites for the City's new public facilities, including the Justice Center, Fire Stations 52 and 54, and the Public Works Shop. The Siting Advisory Committee (SAC) will monitor and guide the process. SOJ and Heartland will attend the SAC's meetings each month to provide updates and incorporate the Committee's input. Based on early consultation with City staff and the operational needs of these facilities, Heartland has developed a map showing the general search areas for each facility. SOJ will share the proposed sequence of activities related to land acquisition. This includes a recommendation for the following Council review dates:

July 10: Overview presentation on Site Selection Process and Search Area Map.

August 7: Potential executive session meeting for review and input on Medium

List of properties.

August 10: Open House #2 at Fire Station 54

September 5: Potential executive session to review and approve Short List of

properties.

September 5: Potential open session to consider Eminent Domain resolution for

Short List properties. Authorize broker to begin negotiations.

September - Potential regular executive session meetings to discuss deal terms on

November: particular properties.

RECOMMENDATION

The Council is asked to provide any feedback on the search areas and activity schedule.

ATTACHMENTS

Map of search areas Site Selection Process

42



Tukwila Public Safety Plan

Draft Site Selection Process, v. 6 (rev. 6/28/17)

May 2017:

1. Broker selection, NTP.

June 2017:

- 2. Collect and analyze parcel, environmental, listing and other data in a GIS platform
- 3. Import FACETS data

July 2017:

- 4. SOJ & Heartland work with City staff to generate "Long List" of site options. Initial screening against Operational Requirements to create "Medium List."
- 5. <u>July 10, 7 pm:</u> SOJ and Heartland provide overview presentation on site selection process/progress to Council.
- 6. July 10 August 7: Additional analysis and data collection on Medium List. Measure against Council-approved screening criteria.
- 7. <u>July 26, 6:00 pm:</u> SOJ and Heartland present site selection criteria and process to Siting Advisory Committee.

August 2017:

- 8. <u>August 7, 7 pm:</u> Potential City Council Executive Session to present Medium List for review and input.
- 9. August 8 September 5: Heartland develop rough cost estimates for top-ranked properties.
- 10. August 10, 5:30-7:30 pm: Open House #2 at Fire Station 54
- 11. August 23, 6:00 pm: Update and consultation with Siting Advisory Committee.

September 2017:

- 12. September 5: 7:00 pm: Potential City Council Executive Session to review Short List
- 13. <u>September 5:</u> City Council may consider, in open session, and adopt Eminent Domain resolutions for all Short List Properties. Authorize Heartland to begin negotiations with property owners.
- 14. September 27, 6:00 pm: Update and consultation with Siting Advisory Committee.

September - November:

- 15. Heartland to initiate negotiations with selected property owners.
 - a. Potential regular discussions with City Council in Executive Session.
- 16. Heartland work with City's legal counsel to draft negotiated purchase and sale agreements

44 111

Site Selection Criteria, v.6

Public Safety Plan Facilities Fire Station Criteria

15-May-17			es - Fire Stations				
Pad Indicates Forestial Community		tation 52		Station 54			
Red Indicates Essential Component	on Only site e Street	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	
Site Address	For Illustration Only Example Site 3900 S Example Street						
EVALUATION CRITERIA - FIRE STATIONS	, w						
1. City Operational Requirements - Must Have	Illustration						
a. Location within Response Time Polygon	Only						
b. Parcel Size, Environmental Conditions	5						
c. Parking Needs	5						
d. Multiple Entry Points e. Site meets same standards required for an EOC	0						
f. Neighborhood Considerations	5						
g. Location of utilities and infrastructure	10					_	
h. Ongoing operating expenses	10						
Subtotal out of possible 16:	45	0	0	0	0	0	
2. City Policy Requirements/Guidance							
a. Benefit to Public Safety	i i						
b. Commitment to Customer Service							
c. Ensuring the Efficient Delivery of Customer Service d. Containing Development Costs			40.0				
- Ensure ability to deliver all promised facilities							
e. Mindfulness of Ongoing Operations Expenses							
f. Importance of Location							
g. Significance of Flexibility					1		
h. Opportunities for innovation							
Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3. Public Desires							
a. Include meeting rooms available to community b. Make fire stations easier to find							
b. Make the stations easier to find							
						_	
					77		
Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Score	45	0	0	0	0	0	
4. Site Details							
a. Parcel Size	43,560						
b. Building size c. Parking capacity - public	12,500						
d. Parking capacity - equipment	15						
gerpany equipment	4						
5. Costs A Purchase Ontion - applied to purch price, not in total							
a. Purchase Option - applied to purch price, not in totalb. Purchase Price	\$1,500,000						
c. Due Diligence	71,000,000						
d. Site Modifications							
e. Building Modifications							
f. New Construction							
Total Costs	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$(

Legend:

Requirer	ments	Policy/Pr	ublic
0	NO	1-3	Unfavorable
5	Acceptable	4-6	Neutral
10	Optimal	7-9	Favorable

Site Selection Criteria, v.6

Public Safety Plan Facilities Justice Center Criteria

15-May-17		, LL	- 30	Site Altern					
Red Indicates Essential Component	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9
	ly eet								
Site Address	te Str								
da	tion e Si								
e A	Illustration O Example Site S Example St								
is	Illus Exar S Ex								
	For Illustration Only Example Site 3900 S Example Street								
EVALUATION CRITERIA - JUSTICE CENTER	- 8								
1. City Operational Requirements - Must Have	Illustration							V	
a. Police Requirements	Only								
i. Parcel accommodates building footprint, space									
requirements, environmental conditions ii. Secure parking for 80 PD vehicles									
iii. Secure parking for PD equipment	18								
iv. Secure parking for evidence vehicles (optional)	5								
v. Outdoor training area	0								
vi. EOC Requirement: microwave communications equip	5								
vii. EOC Requirement: seismic, flood plain	10								
viii. EOC Req: fuel storage for emergency generator	10							-	
ix. Multiple access points, min 2 streets	10								
x. Proximity of high frequency transit									
h Court Daguille and the									
b. Court Requirements								4	
 i. Parcel accommodates building footprint & requirements ii. Public parking needs: 150 spaces 				- 1					
iii. Secure parking for staff/judge: 15 spaces	5					1		W =	
iv. Community/meeting room for 50, flex configuration	10								
v. High Frequency Transit - scored above	above	above	above	above	above	above	above	above	above
			doore	usove	above	above	above	above	above
Subtotal out of possible 28:	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. City Policy Requirements/Guidance									
a. Benefit to Public Safety								-	-
b. Commitment to Customer Service									
c. Ensuring the Efficient Delivery of Customer Service									
d. Containing Development Costs									1
- Ensure ability to deliver all promised facilities e. Mindfulness of Ongoing Operations Expenses							1		
f. Importance of Location					1 1				
g. Significance of Flexibility									
h. Opportunity to catalyze private developments									
i. Opportunities for future expansion									
j. Location of utilities and infrastructure									-
k. Ongoing operating expenses									
Opportunities for innovation									
Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Public Desires									
i. Expandability to accommodate future needs									-
ii. Security for the public and the staff									
iii. Nearby transit access									
iv. Opportunity to enhance a neighborhood v. Cost of the overall facility									
vi. Sustainability/environmental concerns		-							
vii. Conference rooms available to the public									
viii. Close to roadways, city buildings, businesses					-				
ix. Far from residential areas	11								
esta- d		11-2			1.7.7				
Subtotal: Total Score	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	03	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Site Details									
a. Existing Building? b. Parcel Size	YES			1		11	11-51		
c. Building size	120,000 45,000			-					
d. Parking capacity - public	175			-			-		
e. Parking capacity - secure	20		-						
f. Walking distance to transit (feet)	675		1	1					
g. Transit frequency (every xx minutes)	15		7-2				7		
5. Costs									
a. Purchase Option - applied to purch price, not in total									
b. Purchase Price	\$5,699,000						4		
c. Due Diligence d. Site Modifications					/	150			
e. Building Modifications								- 11	
f. New Construction		- 1							
T. New Construction Total Costs	\$5,699,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$

Legend: Requirements

NO

Acceptable

Optimal

Policy/Public

1-3 Unfavorable

4-6 Neutral

7-9 Favorable

Site Selection Criteria, v.6

Public Safety Plan Facilities Public Works Facility Criteria

15-May-17		Site Alternatives - Public Works Facility							
Red Indicates Essential Component	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6			
ENSTITUTION CLITERIA - BIBLIC MOLKS ENTITIES Site Address	For Illustration Only Example Site 3900 S Example Street								
1. City Operational Requirements - Must Have	Illustration								
a. Parcel accommodates building footprint, space requirements, environmental conditions b. Location	Only 5								
c. Reserve power, fuel storage	0								
d. Expansion capability e. Location of utilities and infrastructure	10								
f. Ongoing operating expenses	10								
g. Site meets same standards required for an EOC h. Adequate Parking	10								
Subtotal out of possible 14:	39	0	0	0	0	0			
2. City Policy Requirements/Guidance				(E = 1)					
a. Benefit to Public Safety b. Commitment to Customer Service c. Ensuring the Efficient Delivery of Customer Service									
d. Containing Development Costs - Ensure ability to deliver all promised facilities e. Mindfulness of Ongoing Operations Expenses									
f. Importance of Location g. Significance of Flexibility h. Opportunities for innovation									
Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3. Public Desires i. Expandability to accommodate future needs									
ii. Sustainability/environmental concerns iii. Opportunity to enhance a neighborhood iv. Central location									
v. Access to new public spaces vi. Facilities sharing a site									
Subtotal:	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total Score	39	0	0	0	0	0			
4. Site Details a. Parcel Size									
b. Building size	225,000 n/a								
c. Parking capacity - public d. Parking capacity - equipment	27								
5. Costs									
 a. Purchase Option - applied to purch price, not in total b. Purchase Price c. Due Diligence d. Site Modifications 	\$2,600,000								
e. Building Modifications f. New Construction Total Costs	\$2,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1			
			73	ŶŰ.	90	Ų			

Legend: Requirements Policy/Public

NO 1-3 Unfavorable

Acceptable 4-6 Neutral

Optimal 7-9 Favorable

117